INTERLUDE BOARD OF MANAGERS

March 6th, 2023 11:00 AM MST

I. Roll Call
Lacy Boggess
Etkin Camoglu
Judy Lohr
Jami Downs
Skip Sinclair
Eliza Giosmas (Minutes)

II. Old Business

a. Discuss cameras and Mr. Tucker's email

Mr. Boggess begins by recapping the board's discussion regarding the camera install at Interlude. The cameras have already been installed, but an owner outside of the board has voiced concern over placement of a camera overlooking the hot tub area. Ms. Camoglu agrees with this owner that a camera in the hot tub area is an invasion of privacy. She also states that she feels eight security cameras in total are overkill, especially compared to her NYC apartment and other luxury resorts she has frequented.

Ms. Lohr disagrees and insists that security cameras are needed for safety and liability reasons. She is adamant that a camera overlooking the hot tubs is important and asks Mr. Sinclair to tell the board what he found at the hot tubs recently.

Mr. Sinclair states that he found a broken bottle at the hot tubs and had to drain it halfway to collect a piece of glass that had sunk to the bottom. He believes that cameras add an extra sense of precaution and preventability to instances such as this.

Ms. Downs reminds the board that these cameras won't be monitored 24/7. They are only viewed when there is an incident. The current camera placement would view the carports, Gallun Lane and the hot tub area. Ms. Camoglu wonders the cost of removing the cameras. Ms. Downs responds that it would be roughly \$10,000, per the install company.

Mr. Boggess agrees that the cameras have beneficial security purposes, especially with the ability to view the carports. He asks the board what they would like to do pertaining to the hot tub camera.

Ms. Camoglu suggests asking the owners their opinion on this at the next annual meeting. Mr. Boggess agrees that they can get input from the owners first before making a concrete decision. Ms. Lohr suggests notifying the owners prior to the meeting so they have time to consider it.

III. New Business

a. Discuss possible construction deposit

As discussed in previous meetings, the board has suggested the implementation of a construction deposit for owners who plan to remodel their unit. Essentially, this deposit would be given back to them should they abide by the Interlude rules and regulations surrounding construction work.

Mr. Boggess states that in the past, Interlude charged all owners a construction deposit. He believes the cost was around \$2500.00. Ms. Camoglu suggests a deposit of \$1000.00 for 2-bedrooms and \$1500.00 for 3-bedrooms. She also mentions that there needs to be a timeline of when the money is returned to the owner – she suggests 30 days.

Ms. Downs reminds the board that there needs to be a clear definition of what construction work entails. For example, replacing carpet should not be considered construction. The board agrees to make the rules clear before implementing the deposit.

Mr. Boggess mentions getting Paul Tadune, Interlude's lawyer, involved before making any concrete decisions. The state of Colorado has recently changed its laws surrounding HOA fines, so he wants to make sure a construction deposit is something that Interlude can implement legally. Ms. Downs states that she will speak with Mr. Tadune and get back to them as soon as possible, as construction season is approaching.

IV. Adjourn

Mr. Boggess motions to adjourn. Ms. Lohr seconds this motion. All in favor.